



**Digital Europe**

# **Evaluation form**

**Chips JU DIGITAL Simple Grant  
(DIGITAL-Chips-2024-SG-CPL-5)**

**Call for proposals for operational activities of the pilot lines under the Digital  
Europe programme**

**Version 1.1  
19/07/2024**

Version	Date	Change	Page
V 1.0 ED 2024 153	03/07/2024	Initial version	
V 1.1 ED 2024.199	19/07/2024	Version for Call opening (same as previous version but with the correct ED decision code)	Header

## Evaluation form

### Chips JU DIGITAL Simple Grant (DIGITAL-Chips-2024-SG-CPL-5)

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

##### Scoring

Scores must be in the range 0-5.

##### Interpretation of the scores

- 0** — The **proposal fails to address the criterion** or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
- 1** — **Poor**. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- 2** — **Fair**. The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- 3** — **Good**. The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- 4** — **Very Good**. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- 5** — **Excellent**. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

##### Thresholds & weighting

The standard threshold for individual criteria is 3. The standard overall threshold, applying to the sum of the three individual scores, is 10. The scores are given using a resolution of one decimal (0.1).

Scores are normally NOT weighted. Weighting is used for some type of actions and the weighting factors are defined in the Chips-JU Work Programme applicable to the call and only for the *ranking* (not to determine *if* the proposal passed the thresholds.)

#### EVALUATION

Applications must be evaluated as they were submitted, NOT on their potential if certain changes were made. Therefore, do NOT recommend any modifications (e.g. consortia composition, resources or budget, or inclusion of additional work packages). Shortcomings should be reflected in lower score.

<p><b>1. Relevance</b></p> <p><i>Note: The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the relevant Chips JU Work Programme 2023-2027 sections applicable to the call/topic:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Alignment with the objectives and activities as described in section 2.3 of the Chips JU Work Programme 2023-2027- Appendix 4.</b></li> <li>• <b>Contribution to long-term policy objectives, relevant policies and strategies, and synergies with activities at European and national level.</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 1:</b></p> <p>Threshold 3/5</p>
---	---

<p><b>2. Implementation</b></p> <p><i>Note: The following aspects will be taken into account:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Maturity of the project.</b></li> <li>• <b>Soundness of the implementation plan and efficient use of resources.</b></li> <li>• <b>Capacity of the applicants, and when applicable the consortium as a whole, to carry out the proposed work.</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 2:</b></p> <p>Threshold 3/5</p>
---	---

<p><b>3. Impact</b></p> <p><i>Note: The following aspects will be taken into account:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Extent to which the project will achieve the expected outcomes and deliverables referred to in the call for proposals and, where relevant, the plans to disseminate and communicate project achievements.</b></li> <li>• <b>Extent to which the project will strengthen competitiveness and bring important benefits for society.</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 3:</b></p> <p>Threshold 3/5</p>
--	---

**Total score (1+2+3)**  
Threshold 10/15